EC Meeting 04 NOV 10

Present: B. Barish, E. Paterson, P. Garbincius, M. Harrison, B. Foster, N. Walker, S. Staphnes, K. Yokoya, T. Tauchi, A. Yamamoto, M. Hronek (sec)

Absent: M. Ross, JP Delahaye

Minutes: 24 hours for comments or revisions.

Announcements:

* Oregon arrangements:

Add comments

* ILCSC Push Pull – now news
* ILCSC Post 2012

Several comments made by PG and JMP. Send out copy from BF

* + Read carefully. It will follow the GDE mandate. The ILCSC will follow up with this after the Feb. Meeting.
* Status of TLCC
  + Two proposals have been circulated to the committee.
  + Group requested more formal statement of the mandate. What is the yes and no?
  + PM’s did give a more clear statement and it was circulated.
  + Group will meet again today. Process will wind up in a week or two?
  + One strategic point is the attempt of the PM’s is to set a gradient goal that is achievable and defendable. The problem is the best estimate is close and we will be able to do better, how do we take it into account?

Reports:

* IWLC10
  + Generally it went very well. It was a complicated meeting. Location off site was the right decisions.
  + We did not need to go to CERN for the final session. It would of made logistics much easier
  + One clear, in future we need a better mechanism of organization. We must have a committee of equal representation to draw up proposals for meeting organization.
  + Next meeting will be in Granada and may be at the university. There is a conference center in Granada and this location should be considered if necessary. We need to meet with relative people and come to a common understanding. S. Stapnes to get minutes from meeting and circulate for discussion and understanding.
* ALCPG11
  + M. Harrison assisting with organization. Costs and such are being determined. Mike to meet with J. Brau next week.
  + We need to start to develop the program. This is not a joint meeting with CLIC.
  + Need to know where the rooms and such will be located. A walk thru on campus next week is planned.
* PIP
  + We did get thru everything in Geneva. Comments and updates were circulated.
  + Next step will be in Eugene with updated draft items and a meeting will take place as needed.
  + For FALC and ILCSC, presentation of general update is given. FALC meeting follows the BAW2 at SLAC so we do have some time but we need to come up with a presentation and discussion.
* Governance
  + We need to decide what to do next. Internal critique is needed. We should have a session to develop a plan and see where we want to go with this document.
  + We assigned various tasks at the meeting in Geneva. Will follow up with E. Elsen for notes from the meeting.
* CLIC ILC Collaboration
  + Face to face meeting in Geneva.
  + Goals in Geneva were to review the sections for the interim reports. Half were reviewed and the rest will be done in the next couple of weeks.
  + Draft section will be put together after the reviews are final.
  + Aired the conclusions from the write up for the CLIC ILC lunch meeting and the given in the closeout on Friday at IWLC10. A more coherent report to follow.

PM/Regional Reports:

* EU
  + Annual ILC meeting in Spain last week. Spanish gov had made significant cuts for 2011 (20%). Discussions are underway.
  + UK: Cuts in science are 10% decrease over the next 4 years. The capital is being cut by 40% which is quite substantial. This affects things such as CERN. Agreement with CERN and STFC to help bail out high priority linear collider items. It will go to the CERN finance committee for approval.
  + Significant funding issues in Germany but does not seem to effect DESY.
  + France is constant. B. Foster to meet with new representative from France.
* Americas
  + Election taken place. House is under new leadership and we will have to wait and see what happens. This will affect 2012.
  + We are under continuing resolution. FY11 is wait and see, but we hope for omnibus budget by January.
* Asia
  + No news
* Interim Report
  + Status is two separate reports, machine and detectors.
  + Detectors will not submit anything for machine report.
  + If the time gap is too big it is not good not to have a detector section in the report. The reports need to be close together in publication time.
  + Publication time is scheduled to be around the same time.
  + Detectors need to have progress report for ILCSC in February.
  + Concern: we may overburden he communicators with both reports.